Proceedings of the Federated Conference on
Computer Science and Information Systems pp. 935–938

ISBN 978-83-60810-51-4

Perspectives of Using Temporal Logics for Knowledge Management

Maria Mach-Król
University of Economics
ul. 1 Maja 50, 40-287 Katowice,
Poland
Email: maria.mach-krol@ue.katowice.pl

***Abstract*—The paper concerns the possibility of using temporal logics for knowledge management. The idea of knowledge management is presented, along with the most typical computer solutions for this area. The temporal aspect of knowledge management is pointed out. Having in mind this temporal aspect, the paper presents possible advantages of extending knowledge representation for knowledge management with temporal formalisms.**

Keywords: knowledge management, computer system, temporal logic.

I. Introduction

MODERN enterprises pay a lot of attention to the area of management that is called knowledge management. They understand, that employees' knowledge, or more generally speaking, the knowledge of organization, constitutes one of its key resources. Therefore basic management trends encompass not only managing quality or change, but also knowledge management. It is this area of activity that enables an enterprise to compete with its competitors on the more and more turbulent and dynamic markets.

It must be noticed, at the same time, that the most of knowledge is of temporal character. Knowledge changes in time – for two basic reasons. The first is simply the flow of time, while the second – gathering of new information about objects, that knowledge concerns, objects that possess temporal characteristics [2]. Therefore omitting of a temporal dimension would lead to loosing of important knowledge elements. In this way, time becomes an important category for an enterprise in the area of knowledge management.

While analyzing current informatics solutions for knowledge management, it has to be noticed that time as a knowledge dimension is not noticed at all. Taking into account importance of a temporal aspect, it seems a major disadvantage. Therefore in this paper we propose extending of a knowledge representation in knowledge management systems by temporal formalization, and we consider advantages of the proposed solution.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the concept of knowledge management is presented. Section 3 is concerned to computer solutions in this area. The next point is devoted to the temporal aspect of knowledge, and to the advantages of using temporal formalization. Last section of the paper contains conclusions..

II. Concepts and Models of Knowledge Management

Nowadays knowledge is perceived by modern enterprises as one of key resources that is equally (or more) important as such "classical" types of resources as land, capital or work. What make knowledge so important are its features. M. Grudzewski and I. Hejduk ([4] s. 48) point out the following knowledge's features (see also [13], [14]):

- Domination – meaning, that knowledge is the most important resource of a firm;
- Inexhaustibleness – knowledge that is used, spread, moved does not diminish;
- While used, knowledge gathers value, not used, it disappears;
- Simultaneousity – knowledge may be used by many persons at the same time;
- Non-linearity – it is not possible to point out a direct relationship between the amount of knowledge possessed and the advantages of it.

The above mentioned knowledge features created (among other features) the management trend called knowledge management, because knowledge role as a resource has been noticed. It is a relatively young domain in management sciences, therefore does not exist a commonly accepted definition of knowledge management. The authors of [4], cited before, assume knowledge management as "the whole of processes enabling creating, spreading, and using knowledge for organization's purposes" (p. 47). This definitions links explicitly to the temporal dimension of knowledge, because it uses a definition of processes, which is linked with change. Modeling of processes is useful while describing continuous phenomena, as economic reality for example, therefore it is also useful for describing changes of knowledge treated as enterprise's resource. More on this topic may be found in [12].

Definitions of knowledge management are numerous, as are models of knowledge management. In the literature, the most important models are: the resource one, the Japanese one, and the process one.

The first one – the resource model – treats knowledge as a key resource of an enterprise. This resource comes both from the inside of an organization, as from its environment. In this model, the purpose of an enterprise is getting the strategic competitive advantage in the area of knowledge re-

source and its usefulness. More on this topic may be found in [3], [11], [13], [14].

The name of the Japanese model comes from the nationality of its creators (I. Nonaka, and H. Takeuchi). They formalized Japanese firms' experience. The main accent in the model is put on knowledge creation.

Finally, a process model. It is based on previously cited definition of knowledge management as a set of processes, of which the most important are gathering and creating of knowledge, knowledge dividing, and transforming knowledge into decisions. The temporal character of the model (given implicitly), linked with the process description, has to be stressed here once more.

III. Computer apparatus for knowledge management

Although in some definitions of knowledge management we may find some links to its temporal aspect (see Section 2), and although temporal dimension is present also in the definition of knowledge management system (see below), these systems do not possess ability to represent temporality explicitly.

As the author of [11] points out, knowledge management systems are "information systems that help workers in an enterprise with performing processes linked with knowledge management, such as location and acquisition of knowledge, its transfer, development and use" (p. 54). In the work cited, a schema of computer knowledge management system can also be found. It is presented in Fig. 1.

For the purposes of this paper, the application layer is meaningful, that is the layer consisting of knowledge management computer tools. On a general level, one can point out such systems, as ERP, CMS or search engines, while on a more detailed level, computer tools for knowledge management encompass for example:

- Document management systems,
- Competences management systems,
- Community management systems,
- Workflow systems
- Content management systems,
- E-learning systems,
- Searching systems,
- Groupware systems.

Applications for knowledge management are shown in Fig. 2; they will be also presented later on in a more detailed manner.

According to the elements of Fig. 2, the most popular and typical knowledge management systems are as follows:

- Documents management systems – which create, classify, create electronic archives of documents;
- Competences management systems – they create, write, publish, plan and analyze employees' competences;
- Workflow systems – automate processes of passing information, documents or tasks from one employee to another, according to a timetable;



Fig. 1. Architecture of knowledge management system. Source: [11] p. 55.



Fig. 2. Application layer of knowledge management system. Source: [11] p. 56.

- Community management systems – enable members of a "community" to communicate, where a "community" may be a group or groups of employees working on the same project;
- Content management systems – where "content" is understood as contents of web pages, intranets, multimedia etc.;
- E-learning systems – allow learning with the use of internet. Therefore their aim is to create and diffuse knowledge;
- Searching systems – aimed at a specific kind of classification, concerning search of documents, search of information inside documents, search of

metadata on documents. Nowadays space the most frequently searched is www;
- Groupware systems – this term concerns software enabling exchange of information between members of the group working on the same task. It also enables – among others – planning of meetings (time management) or contacts management;
- ERP systems – systems that succor management in enterprises and institutions, with economic and planning functions. They enable to optimize internal and external processes of an enterprise. They encompass planning of all assets of an enterprise; therefore also knowledge perceived and treated as an asset.

As the above short survey of tools has shown, no one of them has implemented explicitly a possibility to handle temporal dimension of discourse. Some elements of activities linked with the notion of time are of course present. For example, archiving documents allows for tracing their changes, competence planning (e.g. training plan) is also settled in time, as well as task planning in groupware systems. It must be said that it is nevertheless the simplest kind of temporal dimension, linked with calendar time axis. No more advanced mechanisms can be found, that would enable for example analysis of reasons for knowledge changes, tracing knowledge evolution etc. Such possibilities are offered by systems based on temporal logics, which can perform temporal reasoning in an explicit and direct way (more on this topic can be found e.g. in [8]). It seems therefore that incorporating temporal formalisms into existing systems, or constructing new, fully temporal tools would constitute a great extension of possibilities in knowledge management. The next Section presents advantages of using temporal logics and formalisms.

IV. Temporal dimension of knowledge management

As it has been already pointed out in the Introduction, the knowledge in an organization is mostly temporal in characteristics. This means, that with the passing of time knowledge changes, new information comes on objects, that knowledge concerns, if these object poses temporal characteristics. It can be therefore said that this knowledge dimension, that is called "time" is in this case explicit. So omitting this dimension would lead to losing important elements of knowledge – temporal features. Having this in mind, time becomes for an enterprise a very important category in the area of knowledge management. It seems that enriching at least some of knowledge management systems with the possibility of explicit expression of temporal knowledge aspect would allow bettering managing this knowledge, even if taking into account its dynamics. The basic way of representing the temporal aspect of any phenomenon, including knowledge, is the use of temporal logics. Using this group of logic formalisms for knowledge management would lead to several advantages, coming from the advantages of temporal representation. Using temporal representation is well motivated, there are a lot of theoretic works on temporal formalisms and their features, also temporal formalisms have been used in many domains. It is certain, that temporal representation of a domain – including organizational knowledge – has many advantages. They can be divided into several groups:

a) Basic advantages – concerning temporal representation itself, independently from where it is used; these basic advantages also are the origin of advantages from other groups;
b) Advantages concerning representation of change;
c) Advantages concerning representation of causal relationships.

Time, as a dimension, is a basis for reasoning about action and change – only a proper use of temporal dimension allows for representation of change and its features, as e.g. its scope or interactions caused by change [10], [15]. Such explicit temporal reference is possible through the use of a temporal formalism, where time is a basic variable.

Temporal logic allows encoding both qualitative and quantitative temporal information, as well as relationships among events, therefore it is easy to express such relations, as "shorter", "longer", "simultaneously", "earlier" etc. This in turn implies easiness of arranging phenomena in time, even if they overlap – Allen's interval algebra is an example of a formalism which allows such arrangements.

Temporal formalization makes possible to encode discrete and dense changes (according to a model of time adopted), allows for describing change as a process, and for reasoning about causes, effects and directions of change.

As time is the fourth dimension of the world, it may not be omitted during the reasoning process; otherwise the perspective of analysis would be too narrowed. The temporal dimension allows the system to "learn": the system collects cases concerning a phenomenon (or a subject domain) being represented, traces its evolution and thanks to this is able to generate new solutions [6].

It has been already said that temporal representation makes possible to represent change as a process. It is so, because with temporal logic, processes can be modeled explicitly – therefore knowledge on their temporal aspect, their interactions, on concurrent processes is easily expressed [1]. As Kania points out ([12], p. 60), models of processes are useful for describing dense phenomena, as for example economic ones.

Temporal logic gives us richer – temporal aspect included – formalization of domain knowledge, it also gives us "knowledge on knowledge": combining temporal operators with formal knowledge representation one can formulate assertions about knowledge evolution in a system [5], [15]. Van Benthem presents an example of such combination, suggesting combining temporal and epistemic logic [2], p. 335. Placing knowledge in time treated as a basic dimension, one can add new knowledge to a base, not removing the "old" one, and with no risk of inconsistencies [7]. Temporal logic, as a knowledge representation language, should provide both explicit knowledge and access to tacit one ([9], p. 326). Temporal logic, which has reasoning rules built in, is able to provide this property.

Summing up, it should be pointed out that temporal formalisms meet the requirements of knowledge representation in artificial intelligence, such as:

- expressing imprecise and unsure knowledge,
- expressing "relations" of knowledge (e.g. A occurred before B", that very often have no explicit dates;
- different reasoning granulations,
- modeling of persistence.

The above postulates are met e.g. by Allen's interval algebra [1]. Therefore enriching the existing knowledge management systems with temporal formalisms, or building new systems, based on these formalisms, would allow for taking into account the temporal dimension of knowledge, its changes and evolution/development. In this way knowledge may be managed more effectively.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Knowledge management is nowadays one of the most intensively developing trends in management. It is so because the growing role of knowledge in economic success and competitiveness is noticed and appreciated. At the same time it is important, that knowledge is mostly temporal in nature: knowledge changes in time. Therefore the temporal aspect of knowledge may not be omitted while managing this important asset of an enterprise.

In the existing computer systems for knowledge management the temporal aspect is present rarely and implicitly. Taking into account its importance, in the paper we proposed to use temporal logics to extend functionality of existing systems, or to build new computer tools for KM. It seems that the advantages of using temporal formalisms, presented in the paper, make this postulate fully justified.

REFERENCES

[1] Allen J. F., Maintaining Knowledge about Temporal Intervals. „Communications of the ACM", Vol. 26 No. 11, November 1983.
[2] Benthem van, J., Temporal Logic, In: Gabbay D. M., Hogger C. J., Robinson J. A. (Eds.), Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming, Volume 4: Epistemic and Temporal Reasoning. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1995.
[3] Bielecki W., Wirtualizacja Nauczania In: Wawrzyniak B., Zarządzanie Wiedzą w Przedsiębiorstwie, Warszawa 2003, p. 317.
[4] Grudzewski W., Hejduk I., Zarządzanie Wiedzą w Organizacjach, E-mentor, 2005, nr 8, p.46-51.
[5] Halpern J. Y., Reasoning About Knowledge: A Survey, In: Gabbay D. M., Hogger C. J., Robinson J. A. (Eds.), Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming, Volume 4: Epistemic and Temporal Reasoning. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1995.
[6] Jakubczyc J., Wprowadzenie do ekonometrii dynamicznej. Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa-Wrocław, 1996.
[7] Kowalski R., Sergot M., A logic-based calculus of events. In: Schmidt J. W., Thanos C. (Eds.), Foundations of Knowledge Base Management: Contributions from Logic, Databases, and Artificial Intelligence, pp. 23-55. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
[8] Mach M. A., Temporalna analiza otoczenia przedsiębiorstwa. Techniki i narzędzia inteligentne. Wydawnictwo AE Wrocław, 2007.
[9] Mylopoulos J., Borgida A., Jarke M., Koubarakis M., Telos: Representing Knowledge About Information Systems. "ACM Transactions on Information Systems", Vol. 8, No. 4, October 1990, pp. 325-362.
[10] Vila L., A Survey on Temporal Reasoning in Artificial Intelligence. "AI Communications", 7(1), 1994, pp. 4-28.
[11] Wykowska G., Techniki informacyjne w zarządzaniu wiedzą. MSc Dissertation, SGH Warszawa 2008.
[12] Kania K., Temporalne bazy danych w systemach informatycznych zarządzania. Prace Naukowe Akademii im. K. Adamieckiego, Katowice 2004.
[13] Easterby-Smith M., Lyles M. A., Handbook of organizational learning & knowledge management. Wiley, 2011.
[14] Knight T., Howes T., Knowledge Management - A Blueprint for Delivery, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2012.
[15] Fisher M., An Introduction to Practical Formal Methods Using Temporal Logic, Wiley 2011